

Bradley (NH) Goss
 Brady (PA) Granger
 Brady (TX) Graves
 Brown (OH) Green (TX)
 Brown (SC) Green (WI)
 Brown, Corrine Greenwood
 Brown-Waite, Grijalva
 Ginny Gutknecht
 Burgess Hall
 Burns Harman
 Burr Harris
 Burton (IN) Hart
 Buyer Hastert
 Calvert Hastings (WA)
 Camp Hayes
 Cannon Hayworth
 Cantor Hefley
 Capito Hensarling
 Capps Herger
 Cardin Herseth
 Cardoza Hill
 Carson (IN) Hinchey
 Carson (OK) Hinojosa
 Carter Hobson
 Case Hoeffel
 Castle Hoekstra
 Chabot Holden
 Chandler Holt
 Chocola Honda
 Clay Hooley (OR)
 Clyburn Hostettler
 Coble Houghton
 Cole Hoyer
 Conyers Hulshof
 Cooper Hunter
 Costello Hyde
 Cox Inslee
 Cramer Israel
 Crane Issa
 Crenshaw Istook
 Crowley Jackson (IL)
 Cubin Jackson-Lee
 Culberson (TX)
 Cummings Jefferson
 Cunningham Jenkins
 Davis (AL) Johnson (CT)
 Davis (CA) Johnson (IL)
 Davis (FL) Johnson, E. B.
 Davis (IL) Johnson, Sam
 Davis (TN) Jones (NC)
 Davis, Jo Ann Jones (OH)
 Davis, Tom Kanjorski
 Deal (GA) Kaptur
 DeFazio Keller
 DeGette Kelly
 Delahunt Kennedy (MN)
 DeLauro Kennedy (RI)
 DeLay Kildee
 Deutsch Kilpatrick
 Diaz-Balart, L. Kind
 Diaz-Balart, M. King (IA)
 Dicks King (NY)
 Dingell Kingston
 Doggett Kirk
 Dooley (CA) Kleczka
 Doolittle Kline
 Doyle Knollenberg
 Dreier Kolbe
 Duncan Kucinich
 Dunn LaHood
 Edwards Lampson
 Ehlers Langevin
 Emerson Lantos
 Engel Larsen (WA)
 English Larson (CT)
 Eshoo Latham
 Etheridge LaTourette
 Evans Leach
 Fattah Lee
 Feeney Levin
 Ferguson Lewis (CA)
 Filner Lewis (GA)
 Foley Lewis (KY)
 Forbes Linder
 Ford LoBiondo
 Fossella Lofgren
 Frank (MA) Lowey
 Franks (AZ) Lucas (KY)
 Frelinghuysen Lucas (OK)
 Frost Lynch
 Gallegly Majette
 Garrett (NJ) Manzullo
 Gilchrest Markey
 Gillmor Marshall
 Gingrey Matheson
 Gonzalez Matsui
 Goode McCarthy (MO)
 Goodlatte McCarthy (NY)
 Gordon McCollum

McCotter
 McCrery
 McDermott
 McGovern
 McHugh
 McInnis
 McIntyre
 McKeon
 McNulty
 Meehan
 Meek (FL)
 Meeks (NY)
 Mica
 Michaud
 Millender-
 McDonald
 Miller (FL)
 Miller (MI)
 Miller (NC)
 Miller, Gary
 Miller, George
 Mollohan
 Moore
 Moran (KS)
 Moran (VA)
 Murphy
 Murtha
 Musgrave
 Myrick
 Nadler
 Napolitano
 Neal (MA)
 Nethercutt
 Neugebauer
 Ney
 Northup
 Norwood
 Nunes
 Nussle
 Oberstar
 Obey
 Oliver
 Ortiz
 Osborne
 Ose
 Otter
 Owens
 Oxley
 Pallone
 Pascrell
 Pastor
 Payne
 Pearce
 Pelosi
 Pence
 Peterson (MN)
 Peterson (PA)
 Petri
 Pickering
 Pitts
 Platts
 Pombo
 Pomeroy
 Porter
 Portman
 Price (NC)
 Pryce (OH)
 Putnam
 Radanovich
 Rahall
 Ramstad
 Rangel
 Regula
 Rehberg
 Renzi
 Reynolds
 Rodriguez
 Rogers (AL)
 Rogers (KY)
 Rogers (MI)
 Rohrabacher
 Ros-Lehtinen
 Ross
 Rothman
 Roybal-Allard
 Royce
 Ruppertsberger
 Rush
 Ryan (OH)
 Ryan (WI)
 Ryan (KS)
 Sabo
 Sanchez, Loretta
 Sanders
 Sandlin
 Saxton
 Schiff
 Schrock
 Scott (GA)

Scott (VA)
 Sensenbrenner
 Serrano
 Sessions
 Shadegg
 Shaw
 Shays
 Sherman
 Sherwood
 Shimkus
 Shuster
 Simmons
 Simpson
 Skelton
 Slaughter
 Smith (MI)
 Smith (NJ)
 Smith (TX)
 Snyder
 Solis
 Souder
 Spratt
 Stark
 Stearns
 Stenholm

Strickland
 Stupak
 Sullivan
 Sweeney
 Tancredo
 Tanner
 Tauscher
 Tauzin
 Taylor (MS)
 Taylor (NC)
 Terry
 Thompson (CA)
 Thompson (MS)
 Thornberry
 Tiahrt
 Tiberi
 Toomey
 Towns
 Turner (OH)
 Turner (TX)
 Udall (CO)
 Udall (NM)
 Upton
 Van Hollen
 Velázquez

Visclosky
 Vitter
 Walden (OR)
 Walsh
 Wamp
 Waters
 Watson
 Watt
 Weiner
 Weldon (FL)
 Weldon (PA)
 Weller
 Wexler
 Whitfield
 Wicker
 Wilson (NM)
 Wilson (SC)
 Wolf
 Woolsey
 Wu
 Wynn
 Young (AK)
 Young (FL)

NAYS—5

Flake
 Paul
 Sánchez, Linda T.

NOT VOTING—29

Baird
 Baker
 Ballenger
 Bereuter
 Berman
 Boehlert
 Boehner
 Collins
 DeMint
 Emanuel
 Everett
 Farr
 Gephardt
 Gerlach
 Gibbons
 Gutierrez
 Hastings (FL)
 Isakson
 John
 Lipinski
 Maloney
 Menendez
 Quinn
 Reyes
 Schakowsky
 Smith (WA)
 Thomas
 Tierney
 Waxman

□ 1638

So the bill was passed.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

Stated for:

Ms. LINDA T. SÁNCHEZ of California. Mr. Speaker, I want to state that I incorrectly voted "no" on H.R. 4567, the Homeland Security Appropriations Bill. I intended to vote "yes."

PERSONAL EXPLANATION

Mr. BERMAN. Mr. Speaker, I was unavoidably detained and unable to cast a number of rollcall votes. Had I been present, I would have voted "yes" on the Roybal-Allard amendment (rollcall No. 269), "no" on the Tancredo amendment (rollcall No. 270), "yes" on the Maloney/Rangel amendment (rollcall No. 271), "yes" on the Sabo amendment (rollcall No. 272) and "yes" on final passage of the Homeland Security Appropriations bill (rollcall No. 275).

PERSONAL EXPLANATION

Mr. GUTIERREZ. Mr. Speaker, I was unavoidably absent for votes in this Chamber on June 18, 2004. I would like the RECORD to show that, had I been present, I would have voted "yea" on rollcall votes 267, 268, 269, 271, 272, 273, 274, and 275 and "no" on rollcall vote 270.

PERSONAL EXPLANATION

Mr. COLLINS. Mr. Speaker, on Friday, June 18, 2004, I was not present for the following rollcall votes during debate on the Homeland Security Appropriations Act (H.R. 4567).

Had I been present, I would have voted "yea" for rollcall votes, 270—the Tancredo

amendment and 275—Final Passage of H.R. 4567.

I would have voted "nay" on rollcall votes 267—the Jackson-Lee Amendment, 268—the DeLauro Amendment, 269—the Roybal-Allard Amendment, 271—the Maloney Amendment, 272—the Sabo Amendment, 273—the Markey Amendment and 274—the Velázquez Amendment.

REPORT ON H.R. 4613, DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE APPROPRIATIONS ACT, 2005

Mr. LEWIS of California, from the Committee on Appropriations, submitted a privileged report (Rept. No. 108-553) on the bill (H.R. 4613) making appropriations for the Department of Defense for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2005, and for other purposes, which was referred to the Union Calendar and ordered to be printed.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. GOODLATTE). Pursuant to clause 1, rule XXI, all points of order are reserved on the bill.

REPORT ON H.R. 4614, ENERGY AND WATER DEVELOPMENT APPROPRIATIONS ACT, 2005

Mr. YOUNG of Florida, from the Committee on Appropriations, submitted a privileged report (Rept. No. 108-554) on the bill (H.R. 4614) making appropriations for energy and water development for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2005, and for other purposes, which was referred to the Union Calendar and ordered to be printed.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 1, rule XXI, all points of order are reserved on the bill.

LEGISLATIVE PROGRAM

(Mr. HOYER asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. HOYER. Mr. Speaker, I take this time for the purposes of requesting of the majority leader information regarding the schedule for the week to come, and I yield to my friend, the gentleman from Texas (Mr. DELAY), the distinguished majority leader, for the purposes of giving us the schedule.

Mr. DELAY. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentleman from Maryland (Mr. HOYER), the distinguished whip, for yielding to me.

Mr. Speaker, the House will convene on Monday at 12:30 p.m. for morning hour and 2 p.m. for legislative business. We will consider several measures under suspension of the rules. A final list of those bills will be sent to Members' offices by the end of this week. Any votes called on these measures will be rolled until 6:30 p.m.

On Tuesday and the balance of the week, we expect to consider additional legislation under suspension of the rules. We also plan to consider several bills under a rule: The Fiscal Year 2005 Department of Defense appropriations

bill; H.R. 4548, the Fiscal Year 2005 Intelligence Authorization Act; H.R. 3973, the Spending Control Act of 2004; and the Fiscal Year 2005 Energy and Water Development appropriations bill.

Finally, Mr. Speaker, I would like to note that we are expecting a very busy week leading into the July 4 district work period. We are likely to work some late nights and possibly late Friday afternoon. I repeat, for the Members listening, possibly late Friday afternoon as we work to resolve these important pieces of legislation.

I thank the gentleman for yielding me this time, and I would be glad to answer any questions.

Mr. HOYER. Mr. Speaker, I thank the majority leader.

Mr. Speaker, the majority leader mentioned two appropriations bills scheduled for next week: Defense and Energy and Water. Can the gentleman tell us on what days he anticipates those bills to be on the floor, and does he anticipate that they will come to the floor under the customary open rules?

I yield to my friend.

Mr. DELAY. Mr. Speaker, I appreciate the gentleman yielding. We have not made a final decision as to when we might suggest that we place them on the schedule. Just as a possibility, we would put Department of Defense on the floor on Tuesday; Energy and Water might be later on, because we are working, trying to work with the Committee on Appropriations to make sure we are not on the floor when the committee is in markup.

Mr. HOYER. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentleman for that information. The second question was, under traditional open rules, can we expect to consider them under such rules?

Mr. DELAY. Definitely. I would see no reason why we would not traditionally have open rules on these appropriations bills.

Mr. HOYER. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentleman for that response and I thank the gentleman for that procedure.

With respect to the Intelligence Authorization bill, the gentleman has listed that bill for next week. Under what procedures will this be considered and, specifically, can the gentleman comment on whether the Democratic amendments will be allowed, including amendments in the nature of a substitute?

Mr. DELAY. Mr. Speaker, if the gentleman will yield, I do not want to speak for the committee, but I believe that on the Intelligence Reauthorization Act, I believe the committee will solicit all types of amendments and will have a very lengthy debate. I would anticipate there will be many amendments allowed on that bill.

On the Budget Enforcement Act, did the gentleman ask about that?

Mr. HOYER. The gentleman is anticipating me, and I will ask that. Can I ask one other question on the Intelligence bill first? Does the gentleman

know, if we consider Defense on Tuesday, when does the gentleman think we would consider the Intelligence authorization?

Mr. DELAY. Mr. Speaker, if the gentleman will yield, I would anticipate that the Department of Defense appropriations bill would not take very long; it does not usually, and if that is the case, the Intelligence bill would follow right after that. It could be Tuesday if things go well. If they do not, then I would imagine the Intelligence bill would be on Wednesday.

Mr. HOYER. I thank the gentleman.

Now, on the PAYGO bill, or the budget enforcement legislation, we marked up a bill some time ago, the budget itself. On this enforcement act, will Democrats, Mr. Leader, be allowed to substitute on this very important legislation?

I yield to my friend.

Mr. DELAY. Mr. Speaker, I appreciate the gentleman yielding, and as the gentleman can see, the chairman of the Committee on Rules is standing here. I do not want to spoil the surprise of the chairman, his announcement, but I believe that they do plan to solicit all types of amendments and substitutes. I do not want to prejudge their actions, but I do expect them to make in order a number of amendments.

Mr. HOYER. Mr. Speaker, reclaiming my time, would it be appropriate for me to perhaps address the question to the Committee on Rules chairman? I will not do so if the gentleman from Texas (Mr. DELAY) thinks at this point in time that is premature, but I will do so if the gentleman thinks it is appropriate.

Mr. DREIER. Mr. Speaker, will the gentleman yield?

Mr. HOYER. I yield to the gentleman from California.

Mr. DREIER. Mr. Speaker, I would just say that the majority leader is absolutely right.

Mr. HOYER. About what?

Mr. DREIER. About absolutely everything.

Mr. DELAY. Mr. Speaker, will the gentleman yield?

Mr. HOYER. Mr. Speaker, I really would like to have an answer to the question, if one is available. I yield to the leader.

Mr. DELAY. Well, I will take the gentleman seriously. I was going to make a joke.

Mr. HOYER. Mr. Speaker, the gentleman from Texas can make a joke, and then we will get serious.

Mr. DELAY. Mr. Speaker, if the gentleman will continue to yield, I was waiting for the chairman of the Committee on Rules to say that, or I thought I heard him say that the leader is always right.

Mr. HOYER. That is what he said. That is why I took it jocularly and moved on.

Mr. DELAY. Mr. Speaker, if the gentleman will yield again, I do not want to prejudge the chairman of the Com-

mittee on Rules or the Committee on Rules on what they would do, but I think, I think this budget enforcement process bill is a very important piece of legislation. It defines who we are and where we want to take this country, and I am saying, "we" the House and both parties, and I think a free and open debate should be warranted.

Mr. HOYER. Mr. Speaker, reclaiming my time, I very much appreciate the leader's view on that. I think we share that view. There are obviously differences on how to accomplish the objective but, clearly, the objective; that is, of ensuring a responsible management of the fiscal affairs of this country, is obviously of concern to all in this body.

Mr. DREIER. Mr. Speaker, will the gentleman yield?

Mr. HOYER. I yield to the gentleman from California.

Mr. DREIER. Mr. Speaker, I thank my friend for yielding. Let me say, as the majority leader pointed out, I am here to actually solicit from our colleagues those proposals about which my friend has just referred so that we do have an opportunity in the Committee on Rules to consider a wide range of alternatives, and then we will deliberate and we will make a recommendation to the House as to how we should structure the rule for consideration.

But my friend is absolutely right. We do want to have a chance to address what obviously is a very serious and important issue for us institutionally.

Mr. HOYER. Mr. Speaker, I thank the chairman of the Committee on Rules for his observation. As the gentleman knows, we were disappointed we did not get a substitute to the tax bill that was considered yesterday. With a substitute, we can offer alternatives in a way that we cannot necessarily via amendments.

□ 1645

We would appreciate and think it in the best interest of deliberations, whether one agrees or disagrees with the substance, that that process be followed; and we thank the gentleman for his consideration of that.

Mr. Leader, you have not listed, but I believe we do need to act, the transportation bill. You did not list it in your report, but would I be correct in anticipating that we would extend by some additional period of time the authorization or the existing transportation program? I yield to my friend.

Mr. DELAY. We have a number of extensions that have to be done next week, many we are working on with the other side; and on those, the welfare extension along with the highway extension, the child nutrition act extension, and maybe a couple of others that are really important to do next week. And we hope that in working with the minority that we can come to some sort of agreement on these extensions and put them on the suspension calendar on suspension days.

Mr. HOYER. That would be my question. Your anticipation would be that they would be agreed upon, that they would be on the suspension calendar?

Mr. DELAY. That is correct.

Mr. HOYER. I thank the leader for his information.

ADJOURNMENT TO MONDAY, JUNE 21, 2004

Mr. DELAY. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that when the House adjourns today, it adjourn to meet at 12:30 p.m. on Monday, June 21, for morning hour debate.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. GOODLATTE). Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Texas?

There was no objection.

DISPENSING WITH CALENDAR WEDNESDAY BUSINESS ON WEDNESDAY NEXT

Mr. DELAY. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that the business in order under the Calendar Wednesday rule be dispensed with on Wednesday next.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Texas?

There was no objection.

ANNOUNCEMENT OF AMENDMENT PROCESS FOR CONSIDERATION OF H.R. 3973, SPENDING CONTROL ACT OF 2004

Mr. DREIER. Mr. Speaker, the Committee on Rules may meet the week of June 21 to grant a rule which could limit the amendment process for floor consideration of H.R. 3973, the Spending Control Act of 2004. The Committee on the Budget ordered the bill reported on March 17 and filed its report with the House on March 19.

Any Member wishing to offer an amendment should submit 55 copies of the amendment and one copy of a brief explanation of the amendment to the Committee on Rules in room H-312 of the Capitol by 6 p.m. Tuesday, June 22. Members should draft their amendments to the text of the bill as reported by the Committee on the Budget.

Members should use the Office of Legislative Counsel to ensure that their amendments are drafted in the most appropriate format. Members are also advised to check with the Office of the Parliamentarian to be certain their amendments comply with the rules of the House.

ANNOUNCEMENT OF AMENDMENT PROCESS FOR CONSIDERATION OF H.R. 4548, INTELLIGENCE AUTHORIZATION ACT FOR FISCAL YEAR 2005

Mr. DREIER. Mr. Speaker, the Committee on Rules may meet the week of June 21 to grant a rule which could

limit the amendment process for floor consideration of H.R. 4548, the Intelligence Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2005. The Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence ordered the bill reported on June 16, 2004, and is expected to file the report in the House on Monday, June 21.

Any Member wishing to offer an amendment should submit 55 copies of the amendment and one copy of a brief explanation of the amendment to the Committee on Rules in room H-312 of the Capitol by 10 a.m. Tuesday, June 22.

Members should draft their amendments to the text of the bill as reported by the Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence, which is available for their review on the Web site of both the Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence and the Committee on Rules.

Members should use the Office of Legislative Counsel to make sure their amendments are drafted in the most appropriate format. Members are also advised to check with the Office of the Parliamentarian to be certain their amendments comply with the rules of the House.

APPOINTMENT OF MEMBERS TO CANADA-UNITED STATES INTER-PARLIAMENTARY GROUP

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to 22 U.S.C. 276d, clause 10 of rule I, and the order of the House of December 8, 2003, the Chair announces the Speaker's appointment of the following Members of the House to the United States Delegation of the Canada-United States Interparliamentary Group:

Mr. HOUGHTON, New York, chairman;
Mr. DREIER, California;
Mr. SHAW, Florida;
Mr. STEARNS, Florida;
Mr. MANZULLO, Illinois;
Mr. SMITH, Michigan;
Mr. ENGLISH, Pennsylvania;
Mr. SOUDER, Indiana;
Mr. TANCREDO, Colorado.

SPECIAL ORDERS

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. GARRETT of New Jersey). Under the Speaker's announced policy of January 7, 2003, and under a previous order of the House, the following Members will be recognized for 5 minutes each.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Indiana (Mr. BURTON) is recognized for 5 minutes.

(Mr. BURTON of Indiana addressed the House. His remarks will appear hereafter in the Extensions of Remarks.)

SMART SECURITY AND FIRST RESPONDERS

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentle-

woman from California (Ms. WOOLSEY) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Ms. WOOLSEY. Mr. Speaker, today I want to talk about a group of Americans that we do not always take the time to recognize, our Nation's first responders.

This group of brave men and women are our first line of defense against terrorist attacks and disasters. They are our dedicated firefighters, police, emergency technicians, and health care workers who are the first on the scene when disaster strikes.

First responders work around the clock to protect their communities. Unlike most working folks, they are always on call in case of emergency. In fact, many of these dedicated individuals died in the World Trade Center on September 11 because response was so effective they arrived at the scene and were scattered throughout the buildings when the buildings collapsed.

As we know, disaster requires the highest level of cooperation between different agencies, meaning the difference between lives lost and lives saved. Because conditions during major disasters are unpredictable, Mr. Speaker, first responders require the most advanced equipment to ensure that they are well protected: equipment including self-contained breathing units, protective clothing for hazardous situation, interoperable radio units so different groups and communities can communicate during a crisis, thermal imaging units so we can determine if people are stuck in buildings or trapped under falling debris, and trained, available health care workers and technicians adequately supplied with vaccines, medicines and provisions.

One would think that in a post-September 11 world, Congress would fully fund these response efforts; but that is simply not happening. In fact, the homeland security appropriations bill that came before this House today actually reduces funds for first responders.

Despite the majority party's rhetoric, their rhetoric of supporting first responders, most Republicans fully supported President Bush's 2005 budget proposal which would cut \$800 million in grants to first responders. Talk about misplaced priorities. We are spending \$5 billion every month for the war in Iraq, but cannot find the funds to provide \$3 billion this year for our first responders in the homeland security bill; \$3 billion is the amount needed to fully fund the programs that are necessary to keep them safe.

These are people who safeguard our most precious landmarks like the Capitol Building and the Golden Gate Bridge. Clearly our budget priorities are way out of whack when we cannot provide for those who selflessly protect their communities every single day. This, I believe, is travesty.

Every year we lose an average of 100 first responders to terrorist incidents and disasters. With better equipment,